

## MINUTES

### CITY COUNCIL MEETING

March 5, 2013

#### **CALL TO ORDER – Roll Call and Determination of a Quorum**

The Parker City Council met in a regular meeting on the above date at Parker City Hall, 5700 E. Parker Road, Parker, Texas 75002.

Mayor Marshall called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. Councilmembers Leamy, Sumrow, Pettle, Taylor and Levine were present.

Staff Present: City Attorney James Shepherd, City Administrator Jeff Flanigan, City Secretary Carrie Smith, Finance/H.R. Manager Johnna Boyd, Police Chief Tony Fragoso and Fire Chief Mike Sheff.

#### **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 551, Texas Government Code, Vernon's Texas Codes Annotated the City Council may hold a closed meeting.

Mayor Marshall recessed the meeting into Executive Session at 5:00 p.m.

1. RECESS TO CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE AUTHORITY CONTAINED IN:

- a. Govt. Code 551.074 - deliberate the appointment, employment, evaluation and or duties of members of the Police Department.
- b. Govt. Code 551.071 - confidential legal advice regarding the item above.

2. RECONVENE REGULAR MEETING.

Mayor Marshall reconvened the regular meeting at 7:00 p.m.

3. ANY APPROPRIATE DELIBERATION AND/OR ACTION ON ANY OF THE EXECUTIVE SESSION SUBJECTS LISTED ABOVE.

No action was taken.

#### **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

AMERICAN PLEDGE: Resident Louis Zettler led the pledge.

TEXAS PLEDGE: Resident Hugh Lewis led the pledge.

**PUBLIC COMMENTS** The City Council invites any person with business before the Council to speak to the Council. No formal action may be taken on these items at this meeting. Please keep comments to 3 minutes.

None.

**INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION ITEMS**

1. CONSIDERATION AND/OR ANY APPROPRIATE ACTION ON MEETING MINUTES FOR FEBRUARY 5, 2013. [SMITH]

Tabled.

2. CONSIDERATION AND/OR ANY APPROPRIATE ACTION ON MEETING MINUTES FOR FEBRUARY 19, 2013. [SMITH]

Amendments:

- Under Roll Call, note that Mayor Pro Tem Levine was present for the Executive Session.
- Page 4, Item 9:
  - **Insert** – *“City Attorney Shepherd is to prepare an ordinance or resolution permitting organizations based within the City of Parker, whose membership consists of 75% Parker residents and which makes donations to the City of Parker in their yearly budget to place approved signs on City property.”*
  - **Amend motion to read** – *“Motion: Councilmember Leamy moved to approve the PWC’s request to place approved signage in the City right-of-way and for the City Attorney to prepare an ordinance permitting organizations based within the City of Parker, whose membership consists of 75% Parker residents and which makes donations to the City of Parker in their yearly budget to place approved signs on City property. Councilmember Pettle seconded with Councilmembers Leamy, Sumrow, Pettle and Taylor voting for. Motion carried 4 to 0.”*

MOTION: Councilmember Pettle moved to approve the minutes as amended.

Councilmember Taylor seconded with Councilmembers Leamy, Sumrow, Pettle and Taylor voting for. Motion carried 4-0. Mayor Pro Tem Levine abstained.

3. PUBLIC HEARING, CONSIDERATION AND/OR ANY APPROPRIATE ACTION ON PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF PARKER. THE CHAPTERS OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE UNDER REVIEW ARE SECTIONS 156.32, ANIMALS; 156.33,(J) ANIMALS; AND 156.37,(D) LOT MAINTENANCE. IN ADDITION, CHAPTER 92. ANIMALS AND CHAPTER 94. NUISANCES, OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES WILL BE REVIEWED.

See proposed changes to the mowing ordinance in Exhibit 3A.

See proposed changes to the animal ordinance in Exhibit 3B.

Mayor Marshall opened the public hearing at 7:20 pm.

- Jennifer Bost, 4603 Old Gate Lane – Ms. Bost feels the proposed animal ordinance should differentiate between large, midsize and small animals. Alpacas are medium size, ecofriendly, fed daily by their owners as well as graze and are vaccinated. She feels they should not be included in with large animals in their space requirements. She asked that this be addressed.
- Cindy Telisak, 4308 Church Lane – owner of Jacob's Reward Farm, which has both alpacas and sheep. She has the same sentiments as Ms. Bost noting that sheep and goats need to have different specifications than large animals as well. She would like to see different standards for different animals.
- Louis Zettler, 4202 Donna Lane – He feels there should be a different formula for grazing standards for large animals over 500 pounds and those animals under 500 pounds. While Parker has changed, recognize that alpacas, goats and so on are a part of our country lifestyle. He stated he moved here for the country lifestyle, which says live, and let live. He asked the Council to define large animals and redefine smaller animals and their requirements especially alpacas.
- Scott Jeffries, 4600 Sycamore Lane – Mr. Jefferies agrees with those who have spoken regarding the animal ordinance. He does support changes as proposed by the Planning and Zoning Commission regarding the mowing ordinance. He suggests the language be simplified so that those unfamiliar with such terms as prescriptive right-of-way will know exactly what is meant. He feels this is a good step in the right direction.
- Todd Fecht, 7234 Moss Ridge: Our country lifestyle calls for less restrictions on mowing and friendly support of alpacas. He supports the proposed mowing ordinance.
- Ellen Meyer 7278 Moss Ridge: she specifically chose Moss Ridge as it has no HOA and she is a gardener who wants to grow bluebonnets in her back yard. Under our current ordinance, she cannot grow wildflowers as they grow taller than allowed and her lot is less than the required size. Ms. Meyer suggests that Parker allow wildflowers to grow anywhere on a person's property and let them reseed before requiring mowing. Further she suggests that lot size restrictions be removed.
- Alan Meyer: the proposed mowing ordinance is a good compromise. Parker is known for county living in the most desirable community in North Texas, which is why people move here. He does not want to see wildflowers mowed back as he likes to watch them and tall grasses, so allow them to reseed before requiring mowing.
- Cindy Daugherty: See Exhibit 3C

Planning and Zoning Commissioner Tom Stone found in his research that some cities require mowing at 8 inches of height while cities like Parker require mowing at 12-inches of height, which sometimes seems like a catch all. It is recommended that for lots of 2 acres or more, there will be a 10-foot area into the property, which is to be maintained at 8 inches, but the rest of the lot may remain in its natural state. Beyond the above limit, grasses and weeds are not permitted to grow to a height in excess of 24-inches unless the vegetation is for agricultural operations. Agriculture operations are defined as: cultivating the soil; producing crops; floriculture; viticulture; horticulture; silviculture; wildlife management; and raising or keeping livestock and poultry. These do not apply to front yards of properties. (See Exhibit 3A)

Mayor Marshall closed the Public Hearing at 7:35 pm.

City Attorney Shepherd explained that a prescriptive right-of-way comes from years of use, typically outside of the bar ditch or fence to fence. These areas would be difficult to define. He suggested the measurement could be the fence, but the owners would still have to mow the bar ditch. The majority of the roads in Parker have bar ditches. The Knolls does have storm drainage.

Councilmember Sumrow would like to remove Section 1 and define requirements for when dead wildflowers must be mowed and cultivated crops for proper code enforcement.

Councilmember Taylor agrees cultivated crops should be defined, but does not want to over define and limit residents.

Mayor Pro Tem Levine stated Section D2 comes from the Texas Agriculture code. The State has taken a stance to protect Texas and we should follow statute. He appreciates what the Commission has done and we need to decide where to measure from, a right of way, edge of roadway or fence.

Councilmember Pettle was in agreement with Mayor Pro Tem Scott Levine.

Mayor Marshall reopened the Public Hearing at 7:58 p.m.

Cindy Telisak, 4308 Church Lane – The wildflowers bloom in the spring but need time to allow the flowers to reseed. She can help council with a time frame required before mowing.

Todd Fecht, 7234 Moss Ridge: He wants to be allowed to grow grasses on the back acres up to 24" or 30" to release birds, such as chuckers, into a natural state.

Ellen Meyer 7278 Moss Ridge: feels 24 inches is ok. People moved to Parker to live country lives.

Mayor Marshall closed the public hearing at 8:05 p.m.

MOTION: Councilmember Sumrow moved to return the proposed changes to the Planning and Zoning Commission to create a section on wildflowers defining when they must be mowed; define regularly cultivated crops; look at acreage and lot size; develop a provision for enforcement; and eliminate required mowing at the fence line. Councilmember Leamy seconded.

Councilmember Taylor asked to amend the acreage to 1.8 acres. Motion was amended.

Vote 4 – 1 Motion carried with Mayor Pro Tem Levine opposing.

MOTION: Councilmember Pettle moved to refer the proposed animal ordinance to the City Attorney and have him work with Planning and Zoning Chairperson in reviewing all of the City's ordinances regarding animals and to codify an ordinance(s) that will comply with State and Federal laws and defining large, medium and small animals and their grazing standards. Mayor Pro Tem Levine seconded.

After further discussion Councilmember Pettle and Mayor Pro Tem Levine withdrew their motion and second.

MOTION: Mayor Pro Tem Levine moved to authorize City Attorney to consult with the Planning and Zoning Chairperson and draft an ordinance for approval. Councilmember Taylor seconded with Councilmembers Leamy, Sumrow, Levine, Pettle and Taylor voting for. Motion carried 5-0.

#### 4. CONSIDERATION AND/OR ANY APPROPRIATE ACTION REGARDING BICYCLES ON DUBLIN ROAD. [LEVINE/PETTLE]

A three-tiered approach will be used to address the bicycle issues on Dublin Road:

- 1) City Engineer and staff will study roadway issues such as paving, potholes and side drop off;
- 2) Self-policing by bicycle groups in coordination with police department; and
- 3) Police enforcement.

Self-policing by the bicycle groups includes coordinating their rides with Parker police; reducing the size of the group rides; rerouting certain rides; collecting data for Parker as to who is responsible for the ride and who is the bike leader; and having a tent on Dublin Road on occasion to observe rides to educate those not in compliance with rules. The tent will be coordinated with the Parker police. Parker police will enforce traffic/bicycle laws and coordinate with the bicycle groups. Citizens with bicycle complaints will be advised to email those complaints (non-emergency) to Mayor Pro Tem Levine or Councilmember Pettle noting the date and time of the ride. With that information, the ride group can be tracked and issues dealt with. After a 90 period, these efforts will be evaluated for effectiveness by Council.

Noted that a specific lane for bicycles was investigated and found to be quite costly. In order to have a bicycle lane on Dublin Road, drainage would need to be engineered and

storm sewers may have to be installed. City Adminitrator Flanigan, after consulting with City Engineer Birkhoff, noted the estimated to cost would be over one million dollars.

5. CONSIDERATION AND/OR ANY APPROPRIATE ACTION ON A PLAT TITLED KING'S CROSSING PHASE 1 (AKA BEDELL TRACT) BEING 52.57 ACRES IN THE ANN S. HURT SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO 428.

Developer Steve Sallman was present to answer questions. The development is being constructed in accordance with an approved development agreement. This phase includes 38 residential lots. A 24-foot temporary access will be added and will remain in place until the second access easement is constructed.

Not all of the City Engineers issues have been addressed at this time. The issues deal with sewer service. Mayor Pro Tem Levine requested that in the future, all issues from the City Engineer be complete prior to bringing to Council for approval.

MOTION: Councilmember Leamy moved to approve King's Crossing Phase 1 plat as presented subject to all of the City Engineers issues being addressed before filing with the County. Councilmember Taylor seconded with Councilmembers Leamy, Sumrow, Levine, Pettle and Taylor voting for. Motion carried 5-0.

## **ROUTINE ITEMS**

6. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- March 12 – Special meeting at 6:00 p.m. for an executive session on personnel
- March 19 – Cancel May 7 regular meeting due to Early Voting
- TBD – Recognition of Pete and Dawn Loughlin
- TBD – Strategic growth plan before annual planning work session – Mayor Pro Tem Levine
- TBD – Mowing Ordinance
- TBD – Animal Ordinance
- May 21 – Recognition of outgoing Councilmember Sumrow

7. STAFF UPDATES

a. WATER METERS

Finance Manager/H.R. Manager Boyd noted that the installation of water meters is proceeding with no issues. It is estimated that completion of water meter installation will occur within 30 days.

8. ADJOURN

Mayor Marshall adjourned the meeting at 9:23 p.m.

APPROVED:

/s/ Z Marshall  
Mayor Z Marshall

ATTESTED:

/s/ Carrie L. Smith  
City Secretary Carrie L. Smith, TRMC, CMC

APPROVED on the 19th day of  
March, 2013.

EXHIBITS  
Exhibit 3A  
Exhibit 3B  
Exhibit 3C

## **156.37 SUPPLEMENTARY DISTRICT REGULATIONS (EXISTING)**

**(D) Lot maintenance.** In all districts, lots shall be maintained in such a manner as to be free and clear of debris. All vegetation, except for regularly cultivated crops, trees, or shrubbery, which exceeds 12 inches in height, shall be presumed to be objectionable and unsightly. Regularly cultivated crops shall not be allowed to grow within the right-of-way of any public street or easement but shall be kept mowed. It shall be the duty of any person owning, claiming, occupying, or having supervision or control of any real property to cut and remove all weeds, brush, or other objectionable or unsightly matter as often as may be necessary; provided that the removing and cutting same at least once in every 30 days shall be deemed a compliance with this chapter; and to use every precaution to prevent the same growing on the premises to become a nuisance.

## **156.37 SUPPLEMENTARY DISTRICT REGULATIONS (PROPOSED)**

**(D) Lot maintenance.** In all districts, lots shall be maintained in such a manner as to be free and clear of debris. All vegetation, except for regularly cultivated crops, trees, or shrubbery, which exceeds 12 inches in height, shall be presumed to be objectionable and unsightly. The following provisions relate only to the height of grass and weeds on properties with an area or areas, which have remained in their natural state:

(1) On lots of 2 acres or more: that portion of the property that has remained in its natural state – grass and weeds are not permitted to grow to a height in excess of eight (8) inches adjacent to a public or prescriptive road right of way for a distance of ~~twenty (20)~~ten (10) feet into the property from said right of way and within ten (10) feet of adjoining property Discussion is needed on whether it is measured from right of way or edge of payment. Beyond the above limits, grass and weeds are not permitted to grow to a height in excess of twenty-four (24) inches, unless the vegetation is for agricultural operations.

(2) Agricultural operation includes the following activities:

- (A) Cultivating the soil;
- (B) Producing crops for human food, animal feed, planting seed, or fiber;
- (C) Floriculture;
- (D) Viticulture;
- (E) Horticulture;
- (F) Silviculture;
- (G) Wildlife management;
- (H) Raising or keeping livestock or poultry.

(3) This does not apply to front yards as defined in Supplemental District Regulations Section 156.37 (G) (1).

Regularly cultivated crops shall not be allowed to grow within the public or prescriptive road right-of-way of any public street or easement but shall be kept mowed. It shall be the duty of any person owning, claiming, occupying, or having supervision or control of any real property to cut and remove all weeds, brush, or other objectionable or unsightly matter as often as may be necessary; provided that the removing and cutting same at least once in every 30 days shall be deemed a compliance with this chapter; and to use every precaution to prevent the same growing on the premises to become a nuisance.

## § 92.03 WILD, EXOTIC, AND VICIOUS ANIMALS. - EXISTING

(A) *Prohibited animals.* It shall be unlawful for a person to keep or harbor a prohibited animal within the city limits. Prohibited animals include the following. Any animal, regardless of state or duration of captivity, that poses a potential physical or disease threat to the public, that is protected by international, federal or state regulations, or that is considered to be excessively odiferous, noisy or having offensive characteristics, including but not limited to the following:

(1) *Class Reptilia.* Family Helodermatidae (venomous lizards); Family Viperidae (rattlesnakes, copperheads, cottonmouths, other pit vipers and true vipers); Family Elapidae (coral snakes, cobras, mambas and other elapids); the following listed species of Family Colubridae-*Dispholidus typus* (boomslang), *Hydrodynastes gigas* (water cobra), *Boiga* (mangrove snake), and *Thelotornis* (African twig snake) only; Order Phidia, Family Boidae (racers, boas, water snakes and pythons); and Order Crocodilia (crocodiles, alligators, caimans and gavials).

(2) *Class Aves.* Order Falconiforms (such as hawks, eagles and vultures); Subdivision Ratitae (such as ostriches, rheas, cassowaries and emus); Order Strigiforms (such as owls); genus *Meleagris* (such as turkey); genus *Inachis* (such as peacocks).

(3) *Class Mammalia.* Order Carnivora, Family Felidae (such as ocelots, margays, tigers, jaguars, leopards and cougars), except commonly accepted domesticated cats; Family Candidae (such as wolves, wolf-dog hybrids, dingos, coyotes and jackals), except domesticated dogs; Family Mustelidae (such as weasels, skunks, martens, mink and badgers); Family Procyonidae (raccoon); Family Ursidae (such as bears); Order Marsupialia (such as kangaroos and common opossums); Order Edentata (such as sloths, anteaters and armadillos); Order Proboscidea (elephants); Order Primata (such as monkeys, chimpanzees and gorillas); Order Rodentia (such as porcupines); Species Procine (such as swine and potbellied pigs).

(B) Prohibited animal does not mean a gerbil, hamster, guinea pig or laboratory mouse or rat.

(C) *Large animal.*

(1) A large animal is defined as any herbivorous grazing animal over 500 pounds body weight. It shall be unlawful for any person to keep a large animal on any premises except as follows, or to keep any large animal on any premises in an unsanitary condition.

(2) The minimum lot size for large animals is 1.8 net acres. For each lot, the portion of the lot in excess of one-half acre is determined to be the net grazing area. A person may keep large animals in accordance with the following:

(a) One large animal per one-half acre of net grazing area, when each animal is provided a fully enclosed stall inside a barn, suitable for the large animal's care and feeding, accessible by the animal and not used for storage or other use.

(b) One large animal per acre of net grazing area when no fully enclosed stall is provided for the large animal.

(c) Two herbivorous grazing animals less than 500 pounds body weight shall be considered the same as one large animal in the calculation of the number of animals permitted on a lot.

(d) A special permit may be requested by applicants wishing to keep animals with a minor variance from the terms of this division (C).

(Ord. 414, passed 12-17-1996; Am. Ord. 560, passed 10-26-2004; Am. Ord. 625, passed 7-1-2008) [Penalty, see § 92.99](#)

## § 92.03 WILD, EXOTIC, AND VICIOUS ANIMALS.

(A) *Prohibited animals.* It shall be unlawful for a person to keep or harbor a prohibited animal within the city limits. Prohibited animals include the following. Any animal, regardless of state or duration of captivity, that poses a potential physical or disease threat to the public, that is protected by international, federal or state regulations, or that violates the city's nuisance ordinance. (1) Naturally Vicious Wild Animals

Those wild or vicious animals that would pose significant bodily threat to humans, animals or livestock, if not confined.

(1) *Class Reptilia.* ~~Family Helodermatidea (venomous lizards); Family Viperidae (rattlesnakes, copperheads, cottonmouths, other pit vipers and true vipers); Family Elapidae (coral snakes, cobras, mambas and other elapids); the following listed species of Family Colubridae Dispholidus typus (boomslang), Hydrodynastes gigas (water cobra), Boiga (mangrove snake), and Thelotornis (African twig snake) only; Order Phidlia, Family Boidae (racers, boas, water snakes and pythons); and Order Crocodilia (crocodiles, alligators, caimans and gavials).~~

(2) *Class Aves.* ~~Order Falconiforms (such as hawks, eagles and vultures); Subdivision Ratitae (such as ostriches, rheas, cassowaries and emus); Order Strigiforms (such as owls); genus Meleagris (such as turkey); genus Inachis (such as peacocks).~~

(3) *Class Mammalia.* ~~Order Carnivora, Family Felidae (such as ocelots, margays, tigers, jaguars, leopards and cougars), except commonly accepted domesticated cats; Family Canidae (such as wolves, wolf dog hybrids, dingos, coyotes and jackals), except domesticated dogs; Family Mustelidae (such as weasels, skunks, martens, mink and badgers); Family Procyonidae (raccoon); Family Ursidae (such as bears); Order Marsupialia (such as kangaroos and common opossums); Order Edentata (such as sloths, anteaters and armadillos); Order Proboscidea (elephants); Order Primata (such as monkeys, chimpanzees and gorillas); Order Rodentia (such as porcupines); Species Procine (such as swine and potbellied pigs).~~

(B) ~~Prohibited animal does not mean a gerbil, hamster, guinea pig or laboratory mouse or rat.~~

(C) *Large animal.*

(1) A large animal is defined as any herbivorous grazing animal over 500 pounds body weight. It shall be unlawful for any person to keep a large animal on any premises except as follows, or to keep any large animal on any premises in an unsanitary condition.

(2) The minimum lot size for large animals is 1.8 net acres. For each lot, the portion of the lot in excess of one-half acre is determined to be the net grazing area. A person may keep large animals in accordance with the following:

(a) One large animal per one-half acre of net grazing area, when each animal is provided a fully enclosed stall inside a barn, suitable for the large animal's care and feeding, accessible by the animal ~~and not used for storage or other use.~~

(b) One large animal per acre of net grazing area when no fully enclosed stall is provided for the large animal.

(c) Two herbivorous grazing animals less than 500 pounds body weight shall be considered the same as one large animal in the calculation of the number of animals permitted on a lot.

(d) A special permit may be requested by applicants wishing to keep animals with a minor variance from the terms of this division (C).

(Ord. 414, passed 12-17-1996; Am. Ord. 560, passed 10-26-2004; Am. Ord. 625, passed 7-1-2008) [Penalty, see § 92.99](#)

## § 92.03 WILD, EXOTIC, AND VICIOUS ANIMALS.

(A) *Prohibited animals.* It shall be unlawful for a person to keep or harbor a prohibited animal within the city limits. Prohibited animals include the following. Any animal, regardless of state or duration of captivity, that poses a potential physical or disease threat to the public, that is protected by international, federal or state regulations, or that violates the city's nuisance ordinance. (1) Naturally Vicious Wild Animals

Those wild or vicious animals that would pose significant bodily threat to humans, animals or livestock, if not confined.

(C) *Large animal.*

(1) A large animal is defined as any herbivorous grazing animal over 500 pounds body weight. It shall be unlawful for any person to keep a large animal on any premises except as follows, or to keep any large animal on any premises in an unsanitary condition.

(2) The minimum lot size for large animals is 1.8 net acres. For each lot, the portion of the lot in excess of one-half acre is determined to be the net grazing area. A person may keep large animals in accordance with the following:

(a) One large animal per one-half acre of net grazing area, when each animal is provided a fully enclosed stall inside a barn, suitable for the large animal's care and feeding, accessible by the animal.

(b) One large animal per acre of net grazing area when no fully enclosed stall is provided for the large animal.

(c) Two herbivorous grazing animals less than 500 pounds body weight shall be considered the same as one large animal in the calculation of the number of animals permitted on a lot.

(d) A special permit may be requested by applicants wishing to keep animals with a minor variance from the terms of this division (C).

(Ord. 414, passed 12-17-1996; Am. Ord. 560, passed 10-26-2004; Am. Ord. 625, passed 7-1-2008) [Penalty, see § 92.99](#)

Ms. Smith,

My name is Cyndi Daugherty. I live at 3603 Hogge Drive, in the city of Parker, and have lived here for 21 years. Approximately 11 years ago, we began raising alpacas. Little did I know, since there is no stipulation on sheep, goats, alpacas, llamas, etc., they fall under the ordinance that states:

(c) Two herbivorous grazing animals less than 500 pounds body weight shall be considered the same as one large animal in the calculation of the number of animals permitted on a lot.

Dr. David Anderson at The Ohio State University was involved in a project to determine how many llamas or alpacas can forage an acre of pasture of grass pasture. His study "suggests 3 to 4 llamas and 5 to 6 alpacas per acre of grass pasture." Llama \* Alpaca Veterinary Field Manual, pg 110. However, this study was entirely done to determine how much pasture grass would be needed to sustain these animals. Many alpaca farms supplement their animals with grain and hay, and hydroponic grass is becoming a popular forage for breeders (little to no pasture grass would be needed).

A quick Google search will tell you that most people have found that 8 to 10 alpacas per acre is suitable. Regardless, the city of Parker's current ordinance states that "***two herbivorous grazing animals less than 500 pounds body weight shall be considered the same as one large animals in the calculation of the number of animals permitted on a lot.***" This is certainly a ridiculous statement. This ordinance would mean that two sheep, two goats, two alpacas, etc. would be considered equivalent to a horse or a cow (a large animal).

I would like to see either the entire ordinance, regarding large animals, be stricken from the document (section 92.03 Wild, Exotic, and Vicious Animals - C. Large Animals) or at least C.2.c, listed above, stating that two herbivorous grazing animals less than 500 pounds body weight should be considered the same as one large animal, be removed.

I will be unable to attend the council meeting tomorrow night. However, I hope you will take this email as my voice for the concerns of the current ordinance rules regarding animals in the city of Parker. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at the email address listed above or at my residence number, 972-442-0110.

Thank you for your consideration to removing / altering these ordinance rules,  
Cyndi Daugherty

Cyndi Daugherty

Tuesday, March 05, 2013 AOL: LPettle