Phase II (Small) MS4 Annual Report Form

TPDES General Permit Number TXR040000

A. General Information

Authorization Number: TXR040580

Reporting Year (year will be either 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5):__2____

Annual Reporting Year Option Selected by MS4:

Calendar Year:__Yes ___

Permit Year:_____

Fiscal Year: _____ Last day of fiscal year: (_____)

Reporting period beginning date: 1/1/2020

Reporting period end date: 12/30/2020

MS4 Operator Level: _____1____ Name of MS4: City of Parker

Contact Name: _Chad Case _____Telephone Number: _ 972-442-6811 ext. 221__

Mailing Address: __5700 E. Parker Rd., Parker, TX 75002

E-mail Address: __ccase@parkertexas.us_____

A copy of the annual report was submitted to the TCEQ Region: YES__√_
NO___Region the annual report was submitted to: TCEQ Region 4_____

B. Status of Compliance with the MS4 GP and SWMP

1. Provide information on the status of complying with permit conditions: (TXR040000 Part IV.B.2)

	Yes	No	Explain
Permittee is currently in compliance with the SWMP as submitted to and approved by the TCEQ.	√		Compliance has been met.
Permittee is currently in compliance with recordkeeping and reporting requirements.	√		Compliance has been met.

Permittee meets the eligibility requirements of the permit (e.g., TMDL requirements, Edwards Aquifer limitations, compliance history, etc.).	√		Requirements met
Permittee conducted an annual review of its SWMP in conjunction with preparation of the annual report	√		SWMP reviewed

2. Provide a general assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs. You may use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 1 in instructions**):

MCM(s)	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
III.B.1(a)(1)c	1- Educate public by website	No, educating the public will increase recognition & reporting of the already discharged pollutant so that it can be properly eliminated.
	2-Educate public employees in person	Yes, the more education public employees obtain the more they will recognize illegal discharges. This will increase clean up and elimination of discharges.
III.B.1(a)(2)	3-Update website to include educational material	No, educating the public will increase recognition & reporting of the already discharged pollutant so that it can be properly eliminated.
III.B.1(a)(3)	4-Update website	No, increased awareness of the SWMP and the annual report will make the public more aware of the policies and procedures which will increase public input into the stormwater program.

III.B.1(b)(1)	5-Involve general public in program implementation	No, the public's input into the stormwater program will help shape the program for future needs as new ideas are presented.
III.B.2(a)(1)a	1-Update MS4 map	No, however, an updated map will show the city where to focus its attention and resources.
III.B.2(a)(1)c	3-Develop SOP for illicit discharges	No, however, standard operating procedures will provide guidelines on assessing the pollutant risk level followed by reporting and documenting.
III.B.2a)(1)d	4-Develop SOP for eliminating illicit discharges	Yes, because standard operating procedures will provide guidance on how the illicit discharge should be eliminated.
III.B.2(c)(3)	5-Provide central location or phone number for the public to report illicit discharges.	Yes, a central location or phone number gives the public a central location to report illicit discharges which would lead to the clean-up and removal of an illicit discharge.
III.B.2(c)(4)	6-Develop standard operating procedures for responding to illicit discharges in the MS4	No, however, the standard operating procedure will provide clarification on who should respond and who is ultimately responsible for the removal of the illicit discharges.
III.B.2(c)(6)	7-Develop procedures for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections	No, however, the standard operating procedure will inform field personnel on how to properly conduct an inspection and follow-up inspection for illicit discharges.
	8-Develop a checklist for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections	No, however, the checklist is a valuable tool to use for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections.

III.3(a)(1)	1-Review and revise regulatory authority	No, however, the ordinance gives the city the authority to address and enforce against any issues that could affect water quality.
III.3(b)(2)(d)	2-Ensure small and large construction activities are in compliance with TPDS CGP	No, however, the standard operating procedure will provide guidelines on what the city requires to identify small and large site operators and that they're in compliance.
	3-Ensure small and large construction activities are in compliance with TPDES CGP by creating a checklist	No, however, the checklist will provide the appropriate personnel a tool to track and document to ensure that all small and large construction activities are in compliance.
III.3(b)(4)	4-Plan approval	Yes, the standard operating procedure will ensure all construction operators are in compliance with the CGP and are less likely to discharge pollutants to the MS4.
III.3(b)(5)a	5-Conduct inspections	Yes, the operating procedure will provide a guideline for the inspector to follow to target those sites that have potential to discharge into the MS4.
III.3(b)(5)b	6-Conduct inspections	Yes, the inspection process will help ensure that potential discharges are eliminated and sites are in compliance.
III.3(b)(5)c	7-Follow-up actions	Yes, this operating procedure will provide guidelines for inspectors for follow-up actions and enforcement due to non-compliance to help ensure discharges are eliminated.
	8-Follow-up actions (tracking system)	No, the tracking system is a reference to view all activity at a construction site.
III.3(b)(6)	9-Public Input	No, however, the standard operating procedure will provide a system for the MS4 to handle public input and complaints.

III.4(a)(2)	1-Review and revise regulatory authority (ordinance)	No, however, the ordinance gives the city the authority to address and enforce against any post-construction maintenance issues that could affect water quality.
III.4(b)(2)	2-Document enforcement actions	No, however, the tracking system will allow anybody to see enforcement actions that are taken against owners of post-construction controls and the location of those controls.
III.4(b)(3)	3-Document long-term maintenance of post-construction stormwater control measures	No, however, the inventory will provide an up-to-date listing of what types of post-construction stormwater controls the MS4 has along with the location of these controls.

3. Describe progress towards achieving the goal of reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. If no progress was made or the BMP did not result in a reduction in pollutants, provide an explanation. Use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 2 in instructions**):

MCM	BMP	Information Used	Quantity	Units	Does the BMP Demonstrate a Direct Reduction in Pollutants? (Answer Yes or No and explain)
111.B.1(a)(1)c	1	Education for Public	2		The city will continue to update its website to include any new specific information on stormwater along with stormwater articles in the city newsletter. Direct reduction cannot be measured.
	2	Education for public employees	3		Public employees were trained and will continue to be trained on the requirements of the MS4 permit, including Illicit discharges and construction. These same people have access to the website and the newsletters. Direct reduction cannot be measured.

III.B.1(a)(2)	3	Website	1		The website was updated and will continue to be updated in the future as new information presents itself regarding stormwater. Direct reduction cannot be measured.
III.B.1(a)(3)	4	Website updated			The website will continue to be updated when changes are made or new information is presented with the SWMP, annual reports, SOPs, ordinance and educational links regarding stormwater. Direct reduction cannot be measured.
III.B.1(b)(1)	5	Public Involvement	2		The public has been given a link on the website to contact the Stormwater Inspector with any concerns. A phone number has also been provided. There is the potential of pollutant reduction because the public will be able to report any discharges they may see.
III.B.2(a)(1)a	1	Update MS4 map	6		No updates were necessary to the MS4 map since its' completion in the previous reporting cycle. Direct pollutant reduction can't be measured.
III.B.2(a)(1)c	3	SOP for illicit discharges	1		The SOP provides guidelines on how to prioritize pollutant risks how to document it and who to report the discharge to. Direct pollutant reduction can't be measured.
III.B.2(a)(1)d	4	SOP for illuminating illicit discharges	1		The SOP is a guideline on the classification of discharges and how they should be eliminated. Therefore, a reduction in pollutants can be demonstrated.
III.B.2(c)(3)	5	Reporting illicit discharges	1		The name of the Stormwater Inspector is available on the website, along with the Inspectors phone number and email. There is also a main number on the website where the public can report illicit discharges. These tools can help in the elimination of illicit discharges to or from the MS4 and could be measured.

III.B.2(c)(4)	6	SOP for responding to illicit discharges	1		The SOP doesn't provide a way to measure reduction in pollutants. What it does do is provide a guideline on who will respond to illicit discharges and who's ultimately responsible for the removal of the illicit discharge.
III.B.2(c)(6)	7	SOPs for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections	1		The SOP will not provide a direct reduction in pollutants but, it does provide guidance for field personnel on how to properly conduct inspections for any discharges that may be reported.
	8	Develop a checklist for inspections and follow-up inspections	1		The checklist for illicit discharges is a tool for field personnel to use to ensure that the discharge is properly inspected and documented. The checklist doesn't provide a measurement for direct pollutant reduction.
III.3(a)(1)	1	Review and revise regulatory authority	1		The ordinance has been passed and gives the city the authority to implement and enforce its stormwater program. Though the ordinance itself doesn't directly measure pollutant reduction it allows for enforcement actions to take place for the removal of illicit discharges.
III.3.(b)(2)(d)	2	Ensure compliance with the CGP for large and small construction sites.	1		The SOP has been developed to identify all operators at a small and large construction site to confirm compliance. There is no pollutant reduction measurable from this operating procedure.
	3	Create a checklist for compliance with the CGP	1		The checklist can be used to measure pollutant reduction because it does provide personnel a tool to document the actions taken against any operator who does not comply with the CGP. Once compliance is met that means the discharge was eliminated. Therefore, you can show a reduction in the pollutant.
III.3(b)(4)	4	Plan approval	1		The SOP ensures sites have submitted their NOI, developed their SWPPP and that these documents are in compliance with the CGP. Pollutant reduction can't be measured by this SOP.

III.3(b)(5)a	5	Conduct inspections	799		799 construction inspections were conducted in 2020. Procedures for Inspections of construction sites will allow inspectors to target those sites that have the most potential to discharge pollutants to the MS4 and receiving water(s) and be able to measure pollutant reduction.
III.3(b)(5)b	6	Conduct inspections	232		Through enforcement actions 232 construction sites were brought into compliance with the CGP. Procedures were developed identifying which sites to enforce against. Through these procedures, pollutant reduction could be measured.
III.3(b)(5)c	7	Follow-up actions	326		There were 326 follow-up actions taken during this reporting year. Procedures were developed. Through these procedures, pollutant reduction could be measured.
	8	Follow-up actions (tracking system)			The tracking system keeps track of all sites, inspections and follow-up inspections has been developed and being used. The tracking system itself will not measure pollutant reduction.
III.3(b)(6)	9	Public input			The operating procedure for public input and consideration has been developed. No pollutant reduction can be measured.
III.4(a)(2)	1	Review and revise regulatory authority			The ordinance has been passed which gives the city the ability to enforce against any controls that may become a source of pollutant discharges. With the authority in place any enforcement actions taken could result in measurable pollutant reduction.
III.4(b)(2)	2	Document enforcement actions			The tracking system will show post-construction controls and any enforcement actions taken against the owner. Seeing what enforcement actions were taken could be a way to measure a pollutant reduction.

III.4(b)(3)	3	Document long-term maintenance of post-construction SW control measures			The inventory for post construction controls has been developed and will be updated when any future controls are installed. The inventory will not measure pollutant reduction.

4. Provide the measurable goals for each of the MCMs, and an evaluation of the success of the implementation of the measurable goals (**see Example 3 in instructions):**

MCM(s)	Measurable Goal(s)	Explain progress toward goal or how goal was achieved. If goal was not accomplished, please explain.
III.B.1(a)(1)c	1.1 - Provide at least one training opportunity for the general public on the hazards associated with illegal discharges, and improper disposal of waste and about the impact that stormwater discharges can have on local waterways for the general public.	Updated information on the city website and articles focused on stormwater in the city newsletter provide information to the public to better educate them on the importance of stormwater pollution prevention.
	1.2 - Provide at least one mandatory training for public employees on the hazards associated with illegal discharges, and improper disposal of waste and about the impact that stormwater discharges can have on local waterways for public employees associated with stormwater program which includes educational brochures.	The appropriate public employees had a training session with the city consultant on stormwater and the potential harm that can be caused to waterways without the removal of harmful pollutants.

III.B.1(a)(2)	1.3 - Include educational links for the general public on the city's website on hazards associated with illegal discharges, and improper disposal of waste and about the impact that stormwater discharges can have on local waterways	The website provides links to educational materials regarding stormwater with references to: illicit discharges and approved erosion control measures so that they are better informed on what an illicit discharge is and how to identify them and what measures to take to prevent erosion of silt into the stormwater system.
III.B.1(a)(3)	1.4 - Provide a link to the SWMP and the Annual Reports.	The SWMP and Annual Reports as well as the Standard Operating Procedures now have links submitted to the website. They will be updated when necessary.
III.B.1(b)(1)	1.5 - Provide at least one opportunity for the general public to participate in the development of the stormwater program.	The public had several opportunities for input during public discussion portions of City Council meetings. The publics concerns and opinions were taken into consideration and was a big component in leading our program in the direction the city wants to go.
III.B.1(b)(2)	1.6 – Provide at least one opportunity for general public to participate in program control measures.	The public had several opportunities for input and participation during public discussion portions of City Council meetings. The public has been given a link on the website to contact the Stormwater coordinator with any concerns. His phone number has also been provided. The public will be able to report any concerns they may have.
III.B.2(a)(1)a	2.1 - Include in updated map all MS4 outfalls and waters of the U.S., location and name of all surface waters receiving discharges, and any priority areas	Map was updated during previous reporting period, 6 outfalls have been identified, no changes have been made. If any changes arise a NOC will be sent to TCEQ.
III.B.2(a)(1)c	2.3 - Develop SOP to include prioritization of pollutant risks, reporting to TCEQ all environmental harm, and documentation on all illicit discharges	The goal has been met, the SOP sets fourth a standard of how to identify the priority level of a pollutant risk how to document those risks. Pollutant risks no matter the priority level are documented in the same manner.
III.B.2(a)(1)d	2.4 - Develop SOP for elimination of illicit discharges	Goal has been met, the SOP sets fourth a standard for how to eliminate discharges to or from the MS4.

III.B.2(c)3	2.5 - Update website to provide central location or phone number for the public to report Illicit Discharges and advertise its location.	The name of the Stormwater coordinator has been added to the website, along with his phone number and email. There is also a main number on the website where the public can report illicit discharges. This central location for the public gives the MS4 another tool in identifying and removing pollutant risks.
III.B.2(c)4	2.6 – Develop response procedures for illicit discharges.	The goal has been met, the standard operating procedure provides a guideline to use when responding to illicit discharges.
III.B.2(c)(6)	2.7 – Develop procedures for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections.	The goal has been met, the procedure that's been developed provides the field personnel detailed instructions on how to properly document an inspection of an illicit discharge.
	2.8 - Develop a checklist for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections	The goal has been met, the checklist developed is a tool for field personnel to use for documenting inspections and reinspection of illicit discharges.
III.3(a)(1)	3.1 – Revise ordinance to include sanctions to ensure compliance.	The goal has been met, the ordinance was approved and has now established the authority needed by the MS4 to implement the program and issue any enforcement consequences as needed for violators who need to meet compliance.
III.3(b)(2)(d)	3.2 - Develop SOP to identify all operators at a small or large construction site.	The goal has been met, the SOP has been developed to identify all operators at small and large construction sites.
	3.3 - Develop a checklist for MS4 to use to ensure construction operators have developed and implemented a SWPPP and are in compliance with the CGP.	The goal has been met, the checklist gives the MS4 a tool to track SWPPPs to ensure that construction operators are compliant with the CGP
III.3(b)(4)	3.4 - Develop procedures to ensure all construction operators are in compliance with the CGP by having submitted their NOI, implementing a SWPPP, and are posting their CSN.	The goal has been met, the operating procedure ensures that operators have submitted an NOI and CSN to ensure that a SWPPP has be implemented to be in compliance.

III.3(b)(5)a	3.5 – Develop an evaluation process for conducting inspections based on site conditions.	The development of an evaluation process ensures that the goal was met. This process sets a standard within the small MS4 that all sites are a priority while still understanding that some sites will be considered low and or high priority risks for pollutants.
III.3(b)(5)b	3.6 - Develop procedures to conduct inspections and enforcement at all small and large construction sites with the MS4s jurisdiction.	Through the development of an inspection process the goal has been met. This process outlines how and when to conduct an inspection and reinspection and how long a violator has to comply before further enforcement actions take place.
III.3(b)(5)c	3.7 - Develop procedures to conduct follow-up actions to include take all necessary follow-up actions to ensure compliance with permit requirements and the SWMP.	The goal has been met through the development of the procedures for follow-up actions to ensure compliance. This procedure outlines the escalation process of enforcement actions taken to ensure compliance with the CGP, SWMP and ordinance.
	3.8 - Develop a tracking system to keep track of all sites, inspections and follow-up action (re-inspection or enforcement).	The goal has been met, the tracking system keeps track of all sites, inspections and follow-up inspections and enforcement actions if any were required.
III.3(b)(6)	3.9 – Develop procedures for receipt and consideration of public input.	The procedure has met the goal for public input and consideration. The public may visit the city website and file a request for any concerns, information or complaints they may have.
III.4(a)(2)	4.1 – Revise ordinance to include sanctions to ensure compliance.	With the ordinances approval the goal has been met. The ordinance gives the MS4 the authority to implement its program and to take enforcement actions against violators of the ordinance and CGP.
III.4(b)(2)	4.2 – Develop a tracking system for all enforcement actions against owners of structures for post-construction runoff from new development and redevelopment projects.	The goal has been met through the development of the tracking system. The tracking system shows post-construction controls and any enforcement that has been taken against the owner.

III.4(b)(3)	4.3 – Develop an inventory of all post-construction stormwater control measures within the MS4.	The goal was met through the development of the inventory of post construction controls. The inventory shows what type of control is being inspected, its location and who the owner of the control is.

C. Stormwater Data Summary

Provide a summary of all information used, including any lab results (if sampling was conducted) to assess the success of the SWMP at reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. For example, did the MS4 conduct visual inspections, clean the inlets, look for illicit discharge, clean streets, look for flow during dry weather, etc.?

The approval of the Stormwater Ordinance was a major achievement for the MS4. This gave the MS4 the authority to implement and enforce its program. This helped ensure that any violators could be enforced upon to reduce the risk of pollutants that could potentially put water quality standards at risk. Great strides in inspections and documentation have been made and they will continue to progress. Informing the public and public employees has taken a large step forward by providing readily available information. Providing this information potentially allows more eyes within the MS4 to identify and report illicit discharges to the stormwater system. Increased identification and reporting can lead to a greater reduction in pollutants to the water quality of the MS4 overall.

1. Identify whether an impaired water within the permitted area was added to the latest EPA-approved 303(d) list or the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d). List any newly-identified impaired waters below by including the name of the water body and the cause of impairment.

   No new impaired waters within the permitted area were added to either the 303(d) or the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d).

2. If applicable, explain below any activities taken to address the discharge to impaired waterbodies, including any sampling results and a summary of the small MS4's BMPs used to address the pollutant of concern.

   Since the city is strictly a residential area (no industries) it has identified no sources of copper. The main source of bacteria in the city is pet waste. Owners are encouraged to maintain those wastes on site so they do not effect public property.

3. Describe the implementation of targeted controls if the small MS4 discharges to an impaired water body with an approved TMDL.

   N/A

   Report the benchmark identified by the MS4 and assessment activities:

Benchmark Parameter ***(Ex: Total Suspended Solids)***	**Benchmark Value**	**Description of additional sampling or other assessment activities**	**Year(s) conducted**
N/A			

4. Provide an analysis of how the selected BMPs will be effective in contributing to achieving the benchmark:

Benchmark Parameter	**Selected BMP**	**Contribution to achieving Benchmark**
N/A		

6. If applicable, report on focused BMPs to address impairment for bacteria:

Description of bacteria-focused BMP	**Comments/Discussion**
See No. 2 above	

7. Assess the progress to determine BMP's effectiveness in achieving the benchmark.

For example, the MS4 may use the following benchmark indicators:

- number of sources identified or eliminated;
- number of illegal dumpings;
- increase in illegal dumping reported;
- number of educational opportunities conducted;
- reductions in sanitary sewer flows (SSOs); /or
- increase in illegal discharge detection through dry screening.

Benchmark Indicator	Description/Comments
N/A	

D. Stormwater Activities

Describe activities planned for the next reporting year:

MCM(s)	BMP	Stormwater Activity	Description/Comments
III.B.1(b)(2)	1.6	Create opportunity for general public to participate in program control measures.	Posting questions about the stormwater program online to the public will allow them to give their opinions and voice any changes they would like to see. Possibly developing more opportunities for public involvement in city cleaning events to remove trash and debris from the MS4.
III.B.2(a)(1)b	2.2	Train city field staff	Continue to showcase what information is already available to the field staff whilst introducing any new materials or ideas that can effectively reduce the risk of pollutants to the MS4.
III.3(b)(7)	3.10	Staff training	The Stormwater Inspector will continue with on-the-job training and research to further their knowledge of stormwater operations.

III.B.5(b)(1)	5.1	Develop an inventory of facilities and stormwater controls which includes applicable permit numbers, registration numbers, and authorizations for each facility or controls	The inventory of facilities and controls has been developed, by the time the next reporting year comes around an update will be made to that inventory featuring a new ground storage facility. An NOC will be sent to TCEQ once the inventory has been updated.
III.B.5(b)(2)	5.2	Provide at least one mandatory training for the city field staff involved in implementing pollution prevention and good housekeeping practices.	All the appropriate staff has been trained in maintaining water quality. All appropriate staff has a Class C Water Distribution License. With continuing education to maintain licenses and through discussions about the continued standards of stormwater pollution prevention staff will meet mandatory training.
III.B.5(b)(3)	5.3	Determine which parts of Chapters 330 or 335 apply to the MS4 and develop a program for compliance.	N/A, As discussed with MS4 stormwater consultant neither Ch. 330 or 335 apply. The MS4 doesn't have sloid waste dump sites or landfills. There are also no industries producing solid or hazardous waste materials that would be stored or transported within the MS4.
III.B.5(b)(4)b	5.4	Develop oversight Standard Operating Procedures to be used for all contractors	All contractors must meet the same standards of CGP, SWMP and City Ordinance. Operators must develop and submit a SWPPP, post and submit CSN and NOI if necessary depending if it's considered a Small or Large site.
III.B.5(b)(5)a	5.5	Evaluate O&M activities for the potential to discharge pollutants in stormwater	Operational and maintenance activities within this MS4 consist of the repair to watermain breaks, water service line breaks and the emptying of the Public Works vac-truck. These activities have the potential to discharge a pollutants of concern which is silt & sediment.
III.B.5(b)(5)b	5.6	Identify pollutants of concern for each O&M activity that could be discharged in stormwater.	The MS4 primary pollutants of concern of operation and maintenance activities are silt & sediment. Silt & sediment have the potential to be discharged into the stormwater system.

III.B.5(b)(5)c	5.7	Develop pollution prevention measures to reduce discharge of pollutants in stormwater for activities identified in BMP 5.6 above.	Pollution prevention measures have been developed. This procedure currently calls for the use of TCEQ approved natural materials for erosion control (Bruch Berm & Natural Vegetation).
	5.8	Implement pollutant prevention measures to reduce discharge of pollutants in stormwater for activities identified in BMP 5.6 above.	The operating procedure establishes a standard to evaluate the condition of the control measure and when it's necessary to repair or replace the control.
III.B.5(b)(5)d	5.9	Develop procedures for conducting visual inspections of all pollution prevention measures to include the frequency of inspections.	The standard operating procedure has been developed. The procedure sets the standard for how and when to conduct an inspection of the pollution prevention measure. Also outlines when the control would need to be replaced.
	5.10	Develop a database to log all inspections.	The inspection log for control owned by the MS4 has been developed.
III.B.5(b)(6)	5.11	Identify any structural controls owned by the MS4.	The structural control owned by the MS4 at this time is a Brush Berm.
	5.12	Develop standard operating procedures for inspections and maintenance of structural controls identified in 5.11 above.	The standard operating procedure has been developed and establishes a blueprint for how to conduct the inspection and evaluation of the integrity of the structural control.
	5.13	Develop database to log inspections and maintenance conducted for structural controls.	The inspection log has been developed in order to document inspections and if necessary the maintenance of structural controls.

E. SWMP Modifications

1. The SWMP and MCM implementation procedures are reviewed each year.

__√__Yes____No

2. Changes have been made or are proposed to the SWMP since the NOI or the last annual report, including changes in response to TCEQ's review.
   __Yes__√__No

If "Yes," report on changes made to measurable goals and BMPs:

MCM(s)	Measurable Goal(s) or BMP(s)	Implemented or Proposed Changes (Submit NOC as needed)
III.B.1(a)(3)	Provide a link to the SWMP and the Annual Reports.	N/A
III.4(b)(3)	Develop an inventory of all post-construction stormwater control measures within the MS4.	The inventory for post-construction controls has been developed.

Note: If changes include additions or substitutions of BMPs, include a written analysis explaining why the original BMP is ineffective or not feasible, and why the replacement BMP is expected to achieve the goals of the original BMP.

3. Explain additional changes or proposed changes not previously mentioned (i.e. dates, contacts, procedures, annexation of land, etc.).

N/A

F. Additional BMPs for TMDLs and I-Plans

Provide a description and schedule for implementation of additional BMPs that may be necessary, based on monitoring results, to ensure compliance with applicable TMDLs and implementation plans.

BMP	Description	Implementation Schedule (start date, etc.)	Status/Completion Date (completed, in progress, not started)
N/A			

G. Additional Information

1. Is the permittee relying on another entity to satisfy any permit obligations?

___ Yes _√__ No

If "Yes," provide the name(s) of other entities and an explanation of their responsibilities (add more spaces or pages if needed).

Name and Explanation:

Name and Explanation:

Name and Explanation:

Name and Explanation:

2.a. Is the permittee part of a group sharing a SWMP with other entities?

___ Yes __√_ No

2.b. If "yes," is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees?

___ Yes ___ No

If "Yes," list all associated authorization numbers, permittee names, and SWMP responsibilities of each member (add additional spaces or pages if needed):

Authorization Number: _____ Permittee:_____

Authorization Number: _____ Permittee: _____

Authorization Number: _____ Permittee: _____

Authorization Number: _____ Permittee: _____

H. Construction Activities

1. The number of construction activities that occurred in the jurisdictional area of the MS4 (Large and Small Site Notices submitted by construction site operators):

_____40 (site notices)_____

2a. Does the permittee utilize the optional seventh MCM related to construction?

___ Yes _√__ No

2b. If "yes," then provide the following information for this permit year:

The number of municipal construction activities authorized under this general permit	
The total number of acres disturbed for municipal construction projects	

Note: *Though the seventh MCM is optional, implementation must be requested on the NOI or on a NOC and approved by the TCEQ.*

I. Certification

If this is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees, each permittee shall sign and certify the annual report in accordance with 30 TAC §305.128 (relating to Signatories to Reports).

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name (printed):_____ Title:_____

Signature: _____ Date:_____

If you have questions on how to fill out this form or about the Stormwater Permitting program, please contact us at 512-239-4671.

Individuals are entitled to request and review their personal information that the agency gathers on its forms. They may also have any errors in their information corrected. To review such information, contact us at 512-239-3282.