

Phase II (Small) MS4 Annual Report Form

TPDES General Permit Number TXR040000

A. General Information

Authorization Number: TXR040580

Reporting Year (year will be either 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5): 4

Annual Reporting Year Option Selected by MS4:

Calendar Year: Yes

Permit Year:

Fiscal Year: Last day of fiscal year: ()

Reporting period beginning date: 1/1/2022

Reporting period end date: 12/30/2022

MS4 Operator Level: 1 Name of MS4: City of Parker

Contact Name: Chad Case Telephone Number: 972-442-6811 ext. 221

Mailing Address: 5700 E. Parker Rd., Parker, TX 75002

E-mail Address: ccase@parkertexas.us

A copy of the annual report was submitted to the TCEQ Region: YES NO Region the annual report was submitted to: TCEQ Region 4

B. Status of Compliance with the MS4 GP and SWMP

- Provide information on the status of complying with permit conditions:
(TXR040000 Part IV.B.2)

	Yes	No	Explain
Permittee is currently in compliance with the SWMP as submitted to and approved by the TCEQ.	✓		Compliance has been met.
Permittee is currently in compliance with recordkeeping and reporting requirements.	✓		Compliance has been met.

Permittee meets the eligibility requirements of the permit (e.g., TMDL requirements, Edwards Aquifer limitations, compliance history, etc.).	✓		Requirements met
Permittee conducted an annual review of its SWMP in conjunction with preparation of the annual report	✓		SWMP reviewed

2. Provide a general assessment of the appropriateness of the selected BMPs. You may use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 1 in instructions**):

MCM(s)	BMP	BMP is appropriate for reducing the discharge of pollutants in stormwater (Answer Yes or No and explain)
III.B.1(a)(1)c	1- Educate public by website	Yes, educating the public will increase recognition & reporting of dischargers and this will assist the MS4 in detecting and eliminating those discharges.
	2-Educate public employees in person	Yes, the more education public employees obtain the more they will recognize illegal discharges. This will increase clean up and elimination of discharges.
III.B.1(a)(2)	3-Update website to include educational material	No, educating the public will increase recognition & reporting of the already discharged pollutant so it can then be eliminated.
III.B.1(a)(3)	4-Update website	No, increased awareness of the SWMP and the annual report will increase public input into the stormwater program. This could potentially lead to changes in policy and procedures.

III.B.1(b)(1)	5-Involve general public in program implementation	No, the public's input into the stormwater program will help shape the program for future needs as new ideas are presented.
III.B.2(a)(1)a	1-Update MS4 map	No, however, an updated map will show the city where to focus its attention and resources.
III.B.2(a)(1)c	3-Develop SOP for illicit discharges	No, however, standard operating procedures will provide guidelines on assessing the pollutant risk level followed by reporting and documenting.
III.B.2a)(1)d	4-Develop SOP for eliminating illicit discharges	Yes, because standard operating procedures will provide guidance on how the illicit discharge should be eliminated.
III.B.2(c)(3)	5-Provide central location or phone number for the public to report illicit discharges.	Yes, a central location or phone number gives the public a reliable resource to report illicit discharges which will lead to the removal of an illicit discharge.
III.B.2(c)(4)	6-Develop standard operating procedures for responding to illicit discharges in the MS4	No, however, the standard operating procedure will provide clarification on who should respond and who is ultimately responsible for the removal of the illicit discharges.
III.B.2(c)(6)	7-Develop procedures for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections	Yes, because, the standard operating procedure informs personnel on how to properly conduct an inspection and follow-up inspection which would ensure that an illicit discharge is detected and eliminated.
	8-Develop a checklist for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections	No, however, the checklist is a valuable tool to use for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections.

III.3(a)(1)	1-Review and revise regulatory authority	No, however, the ordinance gives the city the authority to address and enforce against any issues that could affect water quality.
III.3(b)(2)(d)	2-Ensure small and large construction activities are in compliance with TPDS CGP	Yes, by ensuring that small and large sites are in compliance with the CGP means that pollutants either don't exist or enforcement actions have taken place to ensure that a discharge has been eliminated.
	3-Ensure small and large construction activities are in compliance with TPDES CGP by creating a checklist	No, however, the checklist will provide the appropriate personnel a tool to track and document to ensure that all small and large construction activities are in compliance.
III.3(b)(4)	4-Plan approval	Yes, the standard operating procedure will ensure all construction operators are in compliance with the CGP and are less likely to discharge pollutants to the MS4.
III.3(b)(5)a	5-Conduct inspections	Yes, the operating procedure will provide a guideline for the inspector to follow to target those sites that have potential to discharge into the MS4.
III.3(b)(5)b	6-Conduct inspections	Yes, the inspection process will help ensure that potential discharges are eliminated and sites are in compliance.
III.3(b)(5)c	7-Follow-up actions	Yes, this operating procedure will provide guidelines for inspectors for follow-up actions and enforcement due to non-compliance to help ensure discharges are eliminated.
	8-Follow-up actions (tracking system)	No, the tracking system is a reference to view all activity at a construction site.
III.3(b)(6)	9-Public Input	No, however, the standard operating procedure will provide a system for the MS4 to handle public input and complaints.

III.4(a)(2)	1-Review and revise regulatory authority (ordinance)	No, however, the ordinance gives the city the authority to address and enforce against any post-construction maintenance issues that could affect water quality.
III.4(b)(2)	2-Document enforcement actions	No, however, the tracking system will allow anybody to see enforcement actions that are taken against owners of post-construction controls and the location of those controls.
III.4(b)(3)	3-Document long-term maintenance of post-construction stormwater control measures	No, however, the inventory will provide an up-to-date listing of what types of post-construction stormwater controls the MS4 has along with the location of these controls.

3. Describe progress towards achieving the goal of reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. If no progress was made or the BMP did not result in a reduction in pollutants, provide an explanation. Use the table below to meet this requirement (**see Example 2 in instructions**):

MCM	BMP	Information Used	Quantity	Units	Does the BMP Demonstrate a Direct Reduction in Pollutants? (Answer Yes or No and explain)
111.B.1(a)(1)c	1	Education for Public	2		Yes, the more educated the public is in identifying illicit discharges the more likely an illicit discharge will be eliminated.
	2	Education for public employees	3		Yes, the more training and education the public employees receive the better they will be at identifying and eliminating discharges.
III.B.1(a)(2)	3	Website	1		Yes, the website was updated and will continue to be updated as new information presents itself regarding stormwater.

III.B.1(a)(3)	4	Website updated			Yes, with updates to the website new information is presented. Current or updated information leads to better education. Being better educating will lead to less discharges to the Storm Water system.
III.B.1(b)(1)	5	Public Involvement	2		Yes, because the public will be able to report any discharges they may see to the Storm Water Inspector via the link and phone number provided on the website.
III.B.2(a)(1)a	1	Update MS4 map	6		No, because no updates to the MS4 Map were needed during this year.
III.B.2(a)(1)c	3	SOP for illicit discharges	1		No, the SOP provides guidelines on how to prioritize pollutant risks how to document it and who to report the discharge to.
III.B.2(a)(1)d	4	SOP for illuminating illicit discharges	1		Yes, the SOP is a guideline on the classification of discharges and how they should be eliminated. Therefore, a reduction in pollutants can be demonstrated.
III.B.2(c)(3)	5	Reporting illicit discharges	1		Yes, the name of the Stormwater Inspector is available on the website, along with the Inspectors phone number and email. There is also a main number on the website where the public can report illicit discharges. These tools can assist in the detection and elimination of illicit discharges.
III.B.2(c)(4)	6	SOP for responding to illicit discharges	1		No, the SOP doesn't provide a way to measure reduction in pollutants. What it does is provide a guideline on who will respond to illicit discharges and who's responsible for the removal of the that discharge.

III.B.2(c)(6)	7	SOPs for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections	1		Yes, the SOP will provide a reduction in pollutants because, it provides guidance for field personnel on how to properly conduct inspections for discharges that are reported. If a discharge is identified it can then be eliminated.
	8	Develop a checklist for inspections and follow-up inspections	1		No, the checklist for illicit discharges is a tool for field personnel to use to ensure that the discharge is properly inspected and documented. The checklist doesn't provide a measurement for direct pollutant reduction.
III.3(a)(1)	1	Review and revise regulatory authority	1		Yes, the ordinance gives the MS4 the authority to enforce against illicit discharges and ensure that they are removed.
III.3.(b)(2)(d)	2	Ensure compliance with the CGP for large and small construction sites.	1		Yes, the SOP is used to ensure compliance with the CGP and if compliance is met that means the necessary BMPs are in place to either prevent or reduce illicit discharges.
	3	Create a checklist for compliance with the CGP	1		Yes, the checklist can be used to measure pollutant reduction because it does provide personnel a tool to document the actions taken against any operator who does not comply with the CGP. Once compliance is met that means the discharge was eliminated. Therefore, you can show a reduction in the pollutant.
III.3(b)(4)	4	Plan approval	1		No, the SOP ensures sites have submitted their NOI, developed their SWPPP and that these documents are in compliance with the CGP. Pollutant reduction can't be measured by this SOP.
III.3(b)(5)a	5	Conduct inspections	582		Yes, 582 construction inspections were conducted in 2022. Procedures for Inspections of construction sites will allow inspectors to target those sites that have the most potential to discharge pollutants to the MS4 and receiving water(s) and be able to measure pollutant reduction.

III.3(b)(5)b	6	Conduct inspections	36		Yes, through enforcement actions 36 construction sites were brought into compliance with the CGP. Procedures were developed identifying which sites to enforce against. Through these procedures, pollutant reduction could be measured.
III.3(b)(5)c	7	Follow-up actions	39		Yes, there were 39 follow-up actions taken during this reporting year. Procedures were developed. Through these procedures, pollutant reduction could be measured.
	8	Follow-up actions (tracking system)			No, the tracking system keeps track of all sites, inspections and follow-up inspections has been developed and being used. The tracking system itself will not measure pollutant reduction.
III.3(b)(6)	9	Public input			No, the operating procedure for public input and consideration has been developed but, pollutant reduction can't be measured.
III.4(a)(2)	1	Review and revise regulatory authority			Yes, the ordinance has been passed which gives the city the ability to enforce against any controls that may become a source of pollutant discharges. With the authority in place any enforcement actions taken could result in measurable pollutant reduction.
III.4(b)(2)	2	Document enforcement actions			Yes, the tracking system will show post-construction controls and any enforcement actions taken against the owner. Seeing what enforcement actions were taken could be a way to measure a pollutant reduction.
III.4(b)(3)	3	Document long-term maintenance of post-construction SW control measures			No, the inventory for post construction controls has been developed and will be updated when any future controls are installed. The inventory will not measure pollutant reduction.

4. Provide the measurable goals for each of the MCMs, and an evaluation of the success of the implementation of the measurable goals (**see Example 3 in instructions**):

MCM(s)	Measurable Goal(s)	Explain progress toward goal or how goal was achieved. If goal was not accomplished, please explain.
III.B.1(a)(1)c	1.1 - Provide at least one training opportunity for the general public on the hazards associated with illegal discharges, and improper disposal of waste and about the impact that stormwater discharges can have on local waterways for the general public.	Updated information on the city website and articles focused on stormwater and identifying illicit discharges in the city newsletter provide information to the public to better educate them on the importance of stormwater pollution prevention.
	1.2 - Provide at least one mandatory training for public employees on the hazards associated with illegal discharges, and improper disposal of waste and about the impact that stormwater discharges can have on local waterways for public employees associated with stormwater program which includes educational brochures.	The appropriate public employees had continuing education training on maintaining good water quality standards to ensure that potentially hazardous discharges are reported and eliminated properly so as not to affect surface water sources from which we get our drinking water.
III.B.1(a)(2)	1.3 - Include educational links for the general public on the city's website on hazards associated with illegal discharges, and improper disposal of waste and about the impact that stormwater discharges can have on local waterways	The website provides links to educational materials regarding stormwater with references to: illicit discharges and approved erosion control measures so that they are better informed on what an illicit discharge is and how to identify them and what measures to take to prevent erosion of silt into the stormwater system.
III.B.1(a)(3)	1.4 - Provide a link to the SWMP and the Annual Reports.	The goal has been met both the SWMP and Annual reports have links on the website.

III.B.1(b)(1)	1.5 - Provide at least one opportunity for the general public to participate in the development of the stormwater program.	The public had several opportunities for input during public discussion portions of City Council meetings. The public's concerns and opinions were taken into consideration and was a big component in leading our program in the direction the city wants to go.
III.B.1(b)(2)	1.6 – Provide at least one opportunity for general public to participate in program control measures.	The public had several opportunities for input and participation during public discussion portions of City Council meetings. The public has been given a link on the website to contact the Stormwater coordinator with any concerns. His phone number has also been provided. The public will be able to report any concerns they may have.
III.B.2(a)(1)a	2.1 - Include in updated map all MS4 outfalls and waters of the U.S., location and name of all surface waters receiving discharges, and any priority areas	No updates to the map were necessary during this reporting year.
III.B.2(a)(1)c	2.3 - Develop SOP to include prioritization of pollutant risks, reporting to TCEQ all environmental harm, and documentation on all illicit discharges	The goal was met, the SOP sets forth a standard of how to identify the priority level of a pollutant risk how to document those risks. Pollutant risks no matter the priority level are documented in the same manner.
III.B.2(a)(1)d	2.4 - Develop SOP for elimination of illicit discharges	The goal was met, the SOP sets forth a standard for how to eliminate discharges to or from the MS4.
III.B.2(c)3	2.5 - Update website to provide central location or phone number for the public to report Illicit Discharges and advertise its location.	The name of the Stormwater coordinator has been added to the website, along with his phone number and email. There is also a main number on the website where the public can report illicit discharges. This central location for the public gives the MS4 another tool in identifying and removing pollutant risks.
III.B.2(c)4	2.6 – Develop response procedures for illicit discharges.	The goal was met, the standard operating procedure provides a guideline to use when responding to illicit discharges.
III.B.2(c)(6)	2.7 – Develop procedures for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections.	The goal was met, the procedure that's been developed provides the field personnel detailed instructions on how to properly document an inspection of an illicit discharge.

	2.8 - Develop a checklist for conducting inspections and follow-up inspections	The goal was met, the checklist developed is a tool for field personnel to use for documenting inspections and reinspection of illicit discharges.
III.3(a)(1)	3.1 – Revise ordinance to include sanctions to ensure compliance.	The goal was met, when the ordinance was approved it gave the authority needed by the MS4 to implement the program and issue any enforcement consequences as needed for violators who need to meet compliance.
III.3(b)(2)(d)	3.2 - Develop SOP to identify all operators at a small or large construction site.	The goal was met, the SOP has been developed to identify all operators at small and large construction sites.
	3.3 - Develop a checklist for MS4 to use to ensure construction operators have developed and implemented a SWPPP and are in compliance with the CGP.	The goal was met, the checklist gives the MS4 a tool to track SWPPPs to ensure that construction operators are compliant with the CGP
III.3(b)(4)	3.4 - Develop procedures to ensure all construction operators are in compliance with the CGP by having submitted their NOI, implementing a SWPPP, and are posting their CSN.	The goal was met, the operating procedure ensures that operators have submitted an NOI and CSN to ensure that a SWPPP has been implemented to be in compliance.
III.3(b)(5)a	3.5 – Develop an evaluation process for conducting inspections based on site conditions.	The development of an evaluation process ensures that the goal was met. This process sets a standard within the small MS4 that all sites are a priority while still understanding that some sites will be considered low and or high priority risks for pollutants.
III.3(b)(5)b	3.6 - Develop procedures to conduct inspections and enforcement at all small and large construction sites with the MS4s jurisdiction.	Through the development of an inspection process the goal has been met. This process outlines how and when to conduct an inspection and reinspection and how long a violator has to comply before further enforcement actions take place.
III.3(b)(5)c	3.7 - Develop procedures to conduct follow-up actions to include take all necessary follow-up actions to ensure compliance with permit requirements and the SWMP.	The goal has been met through the development of the procedures for follow-up actions to ensure compliance. This procedure outlines the escalation process of enforcement actions taken to ensure compliance with the CGP, SWMP and ordinance.

	3.8 - Develop a tracking system to keep track of all sites, inspections and follow-up action (re-inspection or enforcement).	The goal was met, the tracking system keeps track of all sites, inspections and follow-up inspections and enforcement actions if any were required.
III.3(b)(6)	3.9 – Develop procedures for receipt and consideration of public input.	The procedure has met the goal for public input and consideration. The public may visit the city website and file a request for any concerns, information or complaints they may have.
III.4(a)(2)	4.1 – Revise ordinance to include sanctions to ensure compliance.	When the ordinance was approved the goal was met. The ordinance gives the MS4 the authority to implement its program and to take enforcement actions against violators of the ordinance and CGP.
III.4(b)(2)	4.2 – Develop a tracking system for all enforcement actions against owners of structures for post-construction runoff from new development and redevelopment projects.	The goal was met through the development of the tracking system. The tracking system shows post-construction controls and any enforcement that has been taken against the owner.
III.4(b)(3)	4.3 – Develop an inventory of all post-construction stormwater control measures within the MS4.	The goal was met through the development of the inventory of post construction controls. The inventory shows what type of control is being inspected, its location and who the owner of the control is.

C. Stormwater Data Summary

Provide a summary of all information used, including any lab results (if sampling was conducted) to assess the success of the SWMP at reducing the discharge of pollutants to the MEP. For example, did the MS4 conduct visual inspections, clean the inlets, look for illicit discharge, clean streets, look for flow during dry weather, etc.?

The MS4 identified an issue with residents placing household materials that were considered hazardous out for bulk trash pick-up. These household materials had a potential to discharge into the Storm Water Sewer System. A solution was identified and presented to the public. The MS4 has teamed-up with Home Hazardous Waste Solutions so that residents can now schedule to have their household hazardous materials properly collected and disposed of. Residents have been provided a web address link and phone number to HHW Solutions via the MS4 website. By providing this service and information the potential of hazardous discharges has greatly been reduced within the MS4.

1. Identify whether an impaired water within the permitted area was added to the latest EPA-approved 303(d) list or the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d). List any newly-identified impaired waters below by including the name of the water body and the cause of impairment.

No new impaired waters within the permitted area were added to either the 303(d) or the Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for CWA Sections 305(b) and 303(d).

2. If applicable, explain below any activities taken to address the discharge to impaired waterbodies, including any sampling results and a summary of the small MS4's BMPs used to address the pollutant of concern.

Since the city is strictly a residential area (no industries) it has identified no sources of copper. The main source of bacteria in the city is pet waste. Owners are encouraged to maintain those wastes on site so they do not effect public property.

3. Describe the implementation of targeted controls if the small MS4 discharges to an impaired water body with an approved TMDL.

N/A

Report the benchmark identified by the MS4 and assessment activities:

Benchmark Parameter (Ex: Total Suspended Solids)	Benchmark Value	Description of additional sampling or other assessment activities	Year(s) conducted
N/A			

4. Provide an analysis of how the selected BMPs will be effective in contributing to achieving the benchmark:

Benchmark Parameter	Selected BMP	Contribution to achieving Benchmark

N/A		
-----	--	--

6. If applicable, report on focused BMPs to address impairment for bacteria:

Description of bacteria-focused BMP	Comments/Discussion
See No. 2 above	

7. Assess the progress to determine BMP's effectiveness in achieving the benchmark.

For example, the MS4 may use the following benchmark indicators:

- number of sources identified or eliminated;
- number of illegal dumpings;
- increase in illegal dumping reported;
- number of educational opportunities conducted;
- reductions in sanitary sewer flows (SSOs); /or
- increase in illegal discharge detection through dry screening.

Benchmark Indicator	Description/Comments
N/A	

D. Stormwater Activities

Describe activities planned for the next reporting year:

MCM(s)	BMP	Stormwater Activity	Description/Comments

III.B.1(b)(2)	1.6	Create opportunity for general public to participate in program control measures.	The addition of HHW Solutions has provided our residents with a take-charge mentality when it comes to eliminating potential discharges to the MS4 by scheduling their own household hazardous material removal.
III.B.2(a)(1)b	2.2	Train city field staff	Continue to showcase what information is already available to the field staff whilst introducing any new materials or ideas that can effectively reduce the risk of pollutants to the MS4.
III.3(b)(7)	3.10	Staff training	The Stormwater Inspector will continue with on-the-job training and research to further their knowledge of stormwater operations.
III.B.5(b)(1)	5.1	Develop an inventory of facilities and stormwater controls which includes applicable permit numbers, registration numbers, and authorizations for each facility or controls	The inventory of facilities and controls has been developed. The goal of submitting an NOC for a new ground storage facility was not met from the previous reporting year due to delays in construction. The MS4's goal is to have this new facility added to the inventory for the next reporting year.
III.B.5(b)(2)	5.2	Provide at least one mandatory training for the city field staff involved in implementing pollution prevention and good housekeeping practices.	All the appropriate staff has been trained in maintaining water quality. All appropriate staff have a Class C Water Distribution License. With continuing education to maintain licenses and through discussions about the continued standards of stormwater pollution prevention, staff have met mandatory training.
III.B.5(b)(3)	5.3	Determine which parts of Chapters 330 or 335 apply to the MS4 and develop a program for compliance.	N/A, As discussed with MS4 stormwater consultant neither Ch. 330 or 335 apply. The MS4 doesn't have solid waste dump sites or landfills. There are also no industries producing solid or hazardous waste materials that would be stored or transported within the MS4.
III.B.5(b)(4)b	5.4	Develop oversight Standard Operating Procedures to be used for all contractors	All contractors must meet the same standards of CGP, SWMP and City Ordinance. Operators must develop and submit a SWPPP, post and submit CSN and NOI if necessary depending if it's considered a Small or Large site.

III.B.5(b)(5)a	5.5	Evaluate O&M activities for the potential to discharge pollutants in stormwater	Operational and maintenance activities within this MS4 consist of the repair to watermain breaks, water service line breaks and the emptying of the Public Works vac-truck. These activities have the potential to discharge a pollutant of concern which is silt & sediment.
III.B.5(b)(5)b	5.6	Identify pollutants of concern for each O&M activity that could be discharged in stormwater.	The MS4 primary pollutants of concern of operation and maintenance activities are silt & sediment. Silt & sediment have the potential to be discharged into the stormwater system.
III.B.5(b)(5)c	5.7	Develop pollution prevention measures to reduce discharge of pollutants in stormwater for activities identified in BMP 5.6 above.	Pollution prevention measures have been developed. This procedure currently calls for the use of TCEQ approved natural materials for erosion control (Brush Berm & Natural Vegetation).
	5.8	Implement pollutant prevention measures to reduce discharge of pollutants in stormwater for activities identified in BMP 5.6 above.	The operating procedure establishes a standard to evaluate the condition of the control measure and when it's necessary to repair or replace the control.
III.B.5(b)(5)d	5.9	Develop procedures for conducting visual inspections of all pollution prevention measures to include the frequency of inspections.	The standard operating procedure has been developed. The procedure sets the standard for how and when to conduct an inspection of the pollution prevention measure. Also outlines when the control would need to be replaced.
	5.10	Develop a database to log all inspections.	The inspection log for control owned by the MS4 has been developed.
III.B.5(b)(6)	5.11	Identify any structural controls owned by the MS4.	The structural control owned by the MS4 at this time is a Brush Berm.
	5.12	Develop standard operating procedures for inspections and maintenance of structural controls identified in 5.11 above.	The standard operating procedure has been developed and establishes a blueprint for how to conduct the inspection and evaluation of the integrity of the structural control.

	5.13	Develop database to log inspections and maintenance conducted for structural controls.	The inspection log has been developed in order to document inspections and if necessary the maintenance of structural controls.
--	------	--	---

E. SWMP Modifications

1. The SWMP and MCM implementation procedures are reviewed each year.

Yes No

2. Changes have been made or are proposed to the SWMP since the NOI or the last annual report, including changes in response to TCEQ's review.

Yes No

If "Yes," report on changes made to measurable goals and BMPs:

MCM(s)	Measurable Goal(s) or BMP(s)	Implemented or Proposed Changes (Submit NOC as needed)
III.B.1(a)(3)	Provide a link to the SWMP and the Annual Reports.	Goal was met, no changes have been made that would require an NOC
III.4(b)(3)	Develop an inventory of all post-construction stormwater control measures within the MS4.	Goal was met, no changes have been made that would require an NOC.

Note: If changes include additions or substitutions of BMPs, include a written analysis explaining why the original BMP is ineffective or not feasible, and why the replacement BMP is expected to achieve the goals of the original BMP.

3. Explain additional changes or proposed changes not previously mentioned (i.e. dates, contacts, procedures, annexation of land, etc.).

N/A

F. Additional BMPs for TMDLs and I-Plans

Provide a description and schedule for implementation of additional BMPs that may be necessary, based on monitoring results, to ensure compliance with applicable TMDLs and implementation plans.

BMP	Description	Implementation Schedule (start date, etc.)	Status/Completion Date (completed, in progress, not started)
N/A			

G. Additional Information

1. Is the permittee relying on another entity to satisfy any permit obligations?

Yes No

If "Yes," provide the name(s) of other entities and an explanation of their responsibilities (add more spaces or pages if needed).

Name and Explanation:

Name and Explanation:

Name and Explanation:

Name and Explanation:

2.a. Is the permittee part of a group sharing a SWMP with other entities?

Yes No

2.b. If "yes," is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees?

Yes No

If "Yes," list all associated authorization numbers, permittee names, and SWMP responsibilities of each member (add additional spaces or pages if needed):

Authorization Number: _____ Permittee: _____
Authorization Number: _____ Permittee: _____
Authorization Number: _____ Permittee: _____
Authorization Number: _____ Permittee: _____

H. Construction Activities

1. The number of construction activities that occurred in the jurisdictional area of the MS4 (Large and Small Site Notices submitted by construction site operators):

_____ 35 (site notices) _____

2a. Does the permittee utilize the optional seventh MCM related to construction?

____ Yes No

2b. If "yes," then provide the following information for this permit year:

The number of municipal construction activities authorized under this general permit	
The total number of acres disturbed for municipal construction projects	

Note: Though the seventh MCM is optional, implementation must be requested on the NOI or on a NOC and approved by the TCEQ.

I. Certification

If this is this a system-wide annual report including information for all permittees, each permittee shall sign and certify the annual report in accordance with 30 TAC §305.128 (relating to Signatories to Reports).

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name (printed): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

If you have questions on how to fill out this form or about the Stormwater Permitting program, please contact us at 512-239-4671.

Individuals are entitled to request and review their personal information that the agency gathers on its forms. They may also have any errors in their information corrected. To review such information, contact us at 512-239-3282.